UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,196	02/21/2006	Pullabhatla Srinivas	U015822-7	8085
140 LADAS & PAF	7590 02/19/201 RRY LLP	EXAMINER		
26 WEST 61ST		DEES, NIKKI H		
NEW YORK, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/19/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

nyuspatactions@ladas.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/540,196	SRINIVAS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Nikki H. Dees	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 February 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notic eplies: (1) an amendment, afl al (with appeal fee) in complia	e of Appeal. To avoid abar idavit, or other evidence, w ance with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set ter than SIX MONTHS from the r b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEI	nailing date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sleet forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding am nortened statutory period for repl	ount of the fee. The appropria y originally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e	e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	sideration and/or search (see v);	NOTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11	l6 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,	,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,7-9 and 11-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 15 and 16.		will be entered and an e.	Apianation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under a	appeal and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10.	of the status of the claims af	ter entry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the applicat	ion in condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (label{13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	
/Lien Tran/	/Nikki H. Dees/		
Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794	Examiner, Art Unit	1794	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 narrows the scope of the claim, requiring further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments directed at the narrowing of the method of claim 1 to require only the steps claimed and those that do not alter the basic and novel characteristics of the method are not addressed as the amendment has not been entered.

Applicant argues (Remarks, p 7) that the references in the 103 rejection do not provide either predictability or a reasonable expectation of success. It is noted that absolute predictability of the stability provided by the method is not required, there must only be a reasonable expectation of success in applying known prior art methods to compounds not specifically reported in the prior art. As encapsulation and spray-drying are long known in the colorant/flavorant art as methods to improve stability of colorants/flavorants, the rejection is maintained.